AMERICAN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW ASSOCIATION

AIPLA 2023 ECONOMIC SURVEY — INDIVIDUALS

Association Research, Inc. (ARI), an independent survey research organization, is conducting this confidential survey for AIPLA.

All responses will be kept completely confidential.

All questions and concerns about the survey or online content should be directed to ARI. Please e-mail ARI at info@associationresearch.com or call Megan Kirkegaard at 240-268-1262, ext. 100.

Please complete your questionnaire no later than June 9, 2023, either online or by email to info@associationresearch.com.

PART I. GENERAL QUESTIONS FOR ALL RESPONDENTS

L .	What is the zip code of your office location or primary work site? (zip code)	rk site? (zip code)	
•	What is your primary practice? (CHECK THE ONE CATEGORY THAT BEST DESCRIBES YOUR PRACTICE.) PRIVATE FIRM Solo Practitioner Equity Partner, Shareholder (or equivalent) Partner-track attorney Non-partner track attorney Patent Agent Of Counsel (or equivalent) CORPORATE IP DEPARTMENT Head of IP Department (for entire corporation or a division) Patent Agent Government IP Office, other than PTO division) OTHER Attorney Patent Agent Other; please describe (e.g., University) Patent Agent	r's Office	
	a. Did you work remotely in 2022? Yes No		
	b. If yes, what percent of your time?% c. If yes, how many miles away is your remote work location from your office location? Miles		
	a. Do you plan to work or continue working remotely in 2023? Yes No		
	b. If yes, what percent of your time?%		
	Do you work full-time as defined by your firm/company? ☐ Yes ☐ No		
	Are you admitted to the Patent Bar?		
	In what year were you born?		
	What is your gender?		
•	How would you describe your ethnicity? White/Caucasian Black/African American Native Canadian Hispanic/Latino Asian/Pacific Islander North American Indian/ Native Canadian Mixed Race Prefer not to respond		
0.	How many full years of IP law experience do you have? years of experience as a non-attorney years of experience as an attorney		

11.	What field best represents the majority of your academic study?
	☐ Biology/Biotechnology ☐ Electrical Engineering
	Biomedical Engineering Mechanical/Aerospace Engineering
	Chemical Engineering Physics
	Chemistry Social Science (e.g., economics, sociology, psychology)
	☐ Civil/Environmental Engineering ☐ Other science/engineering (SPECIFY)
	Computer Engineering Other non-technical (Specify)
	Computer Science/Software Engineering
12.	Not including a law degree, what is your highest degree?
	☐ None ☐ Master's Degree ☐ Other (Specify)
	Bachelor's Degree Doctorate Degree
13.	a. What was your total gross income in calendar year 2022 from your primary practice? (Include any partnership
	income, cash bonus, share of profits, and similar income you received, and any deferred compensation in which you vested in 2022.) \$
	III 2022.) \$
	b. What was the amount of your year-end cash bonus, if any? \$
14.	What was your employer's 2022 contribution on your behalf to all 401(k)/403(b) retirement and savings plans?
	\$
15 .	What is your expected total cash income for 2023? (As in Q. 13a above, includes expected cash income,
	including bonus and profit-sharing.) \$
10	What remark of very time is deveted to each of the following prosting area.
16.	What percent of your time is devoted to each of the following practice areas? Response may total less than 100%.
	% Copyrights
	% Foreign (Non-US) IP Law
	% General IP
	% Patents
	% Trade Secrets
	% Trademarks
	% Privacy/Cybersecurity
	% Other areas (Specify)
17.	If you are admitted to the Patent Bar, what percent of your time is spent in each of the following areas of
	technical specialization? Response may total less than 100%.
	% Biotechnology
	% Chemical
	% Computer Hardware
	% Computer Software
	% Design
	% Electrical
	% Mechanical
	% TOTAL (may total less than 100%)

	IP prosecution work (all patents, trade secrets, trademarks, copyrights) including selection, evaluation, application
	preparation and prosecution, including related counseling and <i>ex parte</i> appeals (not including supervision or
	management thereof)
%	
 %	IP licensing
%	Non-licensing transactional work (e.g., mergers, acquisitions, joint ventures, obtaining financing and due diligence
	associated with such matters)
%	IP litigation (not including post-grant proceedings before the USPTO)
%	Post-grant proceedings (e.g., inter partes review, post grant review, derivation proceedings, etc.)
	Other dispute resolution (e.g., mediation, arbitration, or other alternative dispute resolution proceedings)
%	Supervision and training of other attorneys or agents within your organization
%	Office management and administration
%	Marketing/Business Development
%	Participation in bar association or professional association activities
	Non-IP legal
%	Managing outside counsel for IP prosecution work
%	Managing outside counsel for IP litigation work
%	Pro bono work
	Other (Specify)
100%	TOTAL
QUESTION	RPORATE PRACTITIONERS AS SHOULD BE ANSWERED BY CORPORATE PRACTITIONERS ONLY. PLEASE SKIP THIS SECTION IF YOU ARE NOT A CORPORATE PRACTITIONER. The should be answered by corporate practitioners only. Please skip this section if you are not a corporate practitioner. The should be answered by corporate practitioners only. Please skip this section if you are not a corporate practitioner.
	-5
\Box	-10
=	– 10 1 – 15
1:	
1: 16	1-15 6 or more than as a liaison with outside counsel, what percent of the following activities is handled by in-house el?
Other counse	1-15 6 or more than as a liaison with outside counsel, what percent of the following activities is handled by in-house el? % by In-house Counsel
Other counse	1 – 15 5 or more than as a liaison with outside counsel, what percent of the following activities is handled by in-house el? % by In-house Counsel gation
Other counse a. Litig b. Lice	1 – 15 5 or more than as a liaison with outside counsel, what percent of the following activities is handled by in-house el? % by In-house Counsel gation ensing %
Other counse b. Lice c. Pate	1 – 15 6 or more than as a liaison with outside counsel, what percent of the following activities is handled by in-house el? % by In-house Counsel gation ensing ent Preparation and Prosecution % —————————————————————————————————
Other counse a. Litig b. Lice c. Pate d. Trace	1 – 15 5 or more than as a liaison with outside counsel, what percent of the following activities is handled by in-house el? % by In-house Counsel gation ensing %
Other counse a. Litig b. Lice c. Pate d. Trac e. Cop	than as a liaison with outside counsel, what percent of the following activities is handled by in-house el? **by In-house Counsel** gation

25.	What percentage of your IP budget is used for: a. US prosecution (Patent, Trademark, Copyright Registration)% b. Non-US prosecution (prosecution in foreign countries)%
26.	How much did the annual corporate IP budget of your organization (excluding litigation) change from 2021 to 2022? Increase% No change Decrease%
27. I	there was a change in your corporate budget, what are the reasons for the change? Please select all that apply. Economic growth
28.	Which type of technology best describes your corporation? Other includes corporate having multiple technology types. Please specify types: Biotech

29.	What i	s your estimate of the percentage of the annual corporate IP budget devoted to each of the following
	types o	of work? Responses should total 100%.
	%	In house staff to perform and/or manage IP prosecution work (all patents, trade secrets, trademarks, copyrights)
		including selection, evaluation, application preparation and prosecution, including related counseling and <i>ex parte</i> appeals
	%	In house staff to provide opinions or counseling prior to litigation or formal ADR (not including actual litigation, ADR, negotiation or licensing)
	%	In house staff to perform IP licensing
	%	In house staff to perform non-licensing transactional work (e.g., mergers, acquisitions, joint ventures, obtaining
		financing and due diligence associated with such matters)
	%	In house staff to perform IP litigation (not including post-grant proceedings before the USPTO)
	%	In house staff to perform post-grant proceedings (e.g., <i>inter partes</i> review, post grant review, derivation proceedings etc.)
	%	In house staff to perform other dispute resolution (e.g., mediation, arbitration, or other alternative dispute resolution proceedings)
	%	Fees paid to outside counsel to perform IP prosecution work (all patents, trade secrets, trademarks, copyrights)
		including selection, evaluation, application preparation and prosecution, including related counseling and <i>ex parte</i> appeals (including supervision or management thereof)
	%	Fees paid to outside counsel to perform opinions or counseling prior to litigation or formal ADR (not including actual litigation, ADR, negotiation or licensing)
	%	Fees paid to outside counsel to perform IP licensing
	%	Fees paid to outside counsel to perform non-licensing transactional work (e.g., mergers, acquisitions, joint ventures, obtaining financing and due diligence associated with such matters)
	%	Fees paid to outside counsel to perform IP litigation (not including post-grant proceedings before the USPTO)
		Fees paid to outside counsel to perform post-grant proceedings (e.g., inter partes review, post grant review,
		derivation proceedings, etc.)
	%	Fees paid to outside counsel to perform other dispute resolution (e.g., mediation, arbitration, or other alternative
		dispute resolution proceedings)
	%	Supervision and training of attorneys or agents within your organization
	%	Office management and administration
	%	Participation in bar association or professional association activities
	%	Pro bono work
	%	Other (Specify)
	100%	TOTAL

PART III. PRIVATE PRACTITIONERS

THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD BE ANSWERED BY PRIVATE PRACTITIONERS ONLY. PLEASE SKIP THIS SECTION IF YOU ARE NOT A PRIVATE PRACTITIONER. What dollar amount was billed for the legal services you performed in 2022? \$ 30. 31. What percent of your services in 2022 were billed (or will be billed) on the following basis? Hourly Predetermined Fee Contingent Other **TOTAL** If you use billable hours, how many billable hours did you record in 2022? _____ hours 32. What was your average hourly billing rate in 2022? \$_____ 33. 34. If yes, did your average hourly billing rate:

Increase Decrease 35. What percentage of your work is billed at a discounted hourly billing rate? _____% 36. **37.** What percentage of your work is billed under an alternative fee arrangement? % Flat fee per project _____% Flat fee for portfolio over a set period of time _____% Capped fee per project On average, how many hours per week do you spend on business development? _____ 38.

PART IV. TYPICAL CHARGES

PROSECUTION AND CLIENT COUNSELING

THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD BE ANSWERED BY BOTH CORPORATE AND PRIVATE PRACTITIONERS.

The following questions, covering trademarks, patents, and copyrights, ask for typical charges. Please respond only if you have been personally responsible for a representative sample of the type of work to which the question pertains, either as a service provider (i.e., an attorney in private practice) or as a purchaser of such services (i.e., corporate counsel).

ASSUMING A TYPICAL CASE WITH NO UNUSUAL COMPLICATIONS, WHAT DID YOU CHARGE (OR WOULD HAVE CHARGED, E.G., BASED ON A FIXED FEE RATE SCHEDULE) OR WHAT WERE YOU CHARGED (OR WHAT YOU WOULD HAVE EXPECTED TO BE CHARGED, E.G., BASED ON A FIXED FEE RATE SCHEDULE), IN 2022, FOR LEGAL SERVICES ONLY (INCLUDING SEARCH FEES, BUT NOT INCLUDING COPY COSTS, DRAWING FEES OR GOVERNMENT FEES) IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF US MATTERS? PLEASE ALSO INDICATE THE TYPE OF FEE PRIMARILY USED IN 2022.

39.	TRADEMARKS (INCLUDING SERVICE MARKS)				
		Fixed Fee	Hourly	Other	Charges in 2022
a.	Trademark Clearance Search, Analysis, and Opinion				\$
b.	Trademark Registration Application (Preparation and Filing)				\$
C.	Trademark Prosecution (Total, including amendments and interviews but not appeals)				\$
d.	Trademark Statement of Use (Preparation and Filing)				\$
e.	Trademark Appeal to the Board (Briefed and Argued)				\$
f.	Trademark Section 8 and 15 Declaration (Preparation and Filing)				\$
g.	Trademark Renewal Application (Preparation and Filing)				\$
h.	Filing of Foreign Origin Trademark Registration Application Received Ready for Filing				\$
i.	Filing for an international trademark				\$
j.	Preparing a UDRP Petition (Uniform Domain-Name Dispute-Resolution)				\$
k.	Responding to a UDRP Petition				\$
l.	Preparing and filing assignments or other formal documents				\$

40. US UTILITY PATENTS including foreign-origin patents where no substantive direction is received from foreign attorneys. Fixed Fee Hourly Other Charges in 2022 a. Original (not divisional, continuation, or CIP) non-provisional utility \$ patent application on invention of minimal complexity, e.g., 10 page specification, 10 claims (Preparation and Filing) b. Provisional Patent Application (Preparation and Filing) \$ Original utility application, relatively complex \$ C. biotechnology/chemical (Preparation and Filing) d. Original utility application, relatively complex electrical/computer \$ (Preparation and Filing) Original utility application, relatively complex mechanical e. \$ (Preparation and Filing) f. Patent application amendment/argument of minimal complexity \$ (Preparation and Filing) Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, \$ g. biotechnology/chemical (Preparation and Filing) h. Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, \$ electrical/computer (Preparation and Filing) i. Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, \$ mechanical (Preparation and Filing) Appeal to Board in utility patent application without oral argument. \$ j. k. Appeal to Board in utility patent application with oral argument. \$ I. Issuing an allowed application (All post-allowance activity, except \$ PTA calculation) Ex parte re-exam \$ m. \$ Paying a Maintenance Fee n. \$ Utility Patent Novelty Search, Analysis, and Opinion 0. Validity/Invalidity Only Opinion, per patent \$ p. Infringement/Non-Infringement Only Opinion, per patent \$ q. Combination validity and Infringement, per patent \$ r. Preparing and filing Information Disclosure Statement (IDS), less \$ s. than 50 references Preparing and filing Information Disclosure Statement (IDS), more t. \$ than 50 references \$ u. Reference management (typical portfolio size) Patent Term Adjustment calculation \$ ٧. \$ Formalities, including preparing and filing formal declarations, W. assignments, and powers of attorney, responding to preexamination notices, and preparing papers to make corrections Preparing and filing formal drawings \$ Χ. Preparing for and conducting examiner interview \$ у. Providing a continuation recommendation (including proposed claim Z. \$ strategy)

n which foreign attorneys provide substantive direction. Exclude government, foreign associate, drawing, and similar fees.	Ì			
			1	
	Fixed			
	Fee	Hourly	Other	Charges in 2022
. Filing foreign origin utility patent application in USPTO, received ready				\$
for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents	Ш	ΙШ	Ш	
b. Filing non-PCT patent application abroad (per country, not including				\$
associate or government fees)		Г		۲
c. Filing previously prepared US patent application as PCT application in				\$
				۶
US Receiving Office Entering National Stage in US Receiving Office from foreign origin PCT				<u> </u>
	Ш			\$
application Entering National Stage in each foreign Receiving Office from US origin				<u> </u>
		Ш		\$
PCT application				A
Paying an annuity or maintenance fee	Ш	ш		\$
p. Patent application amendment/argument of minimal complexity,				\$
where foreign counsel or the client provides detailed response				7
instructions (Preparation and Filing)				
n. Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex,				\$
biotechnology/chemical, where foreign counsel or the client provides				~
detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing)				
Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, electrical				\$
computer, where foreign counsel or the client provides detailed				٦
response instructions (Preparation and Filing)		_		
Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex,				\$
mechanical, where foreign counsel or the client provides detailed				۶
response instructions (Preparation and Filing)				
2. Please <u>choose one</u> foreign country and answer questions a-h	ioi tiiat	one for	eign co	untry. (SPECIFY COUNTRY
2. Please <u>choose one</u> foreign country and answer questions a-h	ior that	one for	eign co	untry. (specify country
	Fixed	one for	eign co	untry. (specify country
ELLING US APPLICATIONS ABROAD		one for	Other	
FILING US APPLICATIONS ABROAD	Fixed			Charges in 2022
FILING US APPLICATIONS ABROAD a. Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready	Fixed			
FILING US APPLICATIONS ABROAD a. Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents	Fixed			Charges in 2022
FILING US APPLICATIONS ABROAD 1. Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents 2. Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT	Fixed			Charges in 2022
i. Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents. Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT application	Fixed			Charges in 2022
FILING US APPLICATIONS ABROAD a. Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents b. Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT	Fixed			Charges in 2022
FILING US APPLICATIONS ABROAD a. Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents b. Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT application c. Paying an annuity or maintenance fee	Fixed			Charges in 202 \$ \$ \$
FILING US APPLICATIONS ABROAD a. Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents b. Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT application c. Paying an annuity or maintenance fee d. Patent application amendment/argument of minimal complexity,	Fixed			Charges in 202
FILING US APPLICATIONS ABROAD a. Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents b. Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT application c. Paying an annuity or maintenance fee d. Patent application amendment/argument of minimal complexity, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed	Fixed			Charges in 202 \$ \$ \$
FILING US APPLICATIONS ABROAD a. Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents b. Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT application c. Paying an annuity or maintenance fee d. Patent application amendment/argument of minimal complexity, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing)	Fixed			\$ \$ \$ \$
FILING US APPLICATIONS ABROAD a. Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents b. Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT application c. Paying an annuity or maintenance fee d. Patent application amendment/argument of minimal complexity, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) e. Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex,	Fixed			Charges in 202 \$ \$ \$
EILING US APPLICATIONS ABROAD a. Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents b. Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT application c. Paying an annuity or maintenance fee d. Patent application amendment/argument of minimal complexity, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) b. Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, biotechnology/chemical, where US counsel or the client provides	Fixed			\$ \$ \$ \$
FILING US APPLICATIONS ABROAD a. Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents b. Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT application c. Paying an annuity or maintenance fee d. Patent application amendment/argument of minimal complexity, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) e. Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex,	Fixed			\$ \$ \$ \$
FILING US APPLICATIONS ABROAD a. Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents b. Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT application c. Paying an annuity or maintenance fee d. Patent application amendment/argument of minimal complexity, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) e. Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, biotechnology/chemical, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing)	Fixed			\$ \$ \$ \$
Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents D. Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT application D. Paying an annuity or maintenance fee D. Patent application amendment/argument of minimal complexity, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) D. Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, biotechnology/chemical, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, electrical	Fixed			\$ \$ \$ \$
Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents D. Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT application E. Paying an annuity or maintenance fee D. Patent application amendment/argument of minimal complexity, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) D. Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, biotechnology/chemical, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, electrical computer, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with	Fixed			\$ \$ \$ \$
FILING US APPLICATIONS ABROAD a. Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents b. Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT application c. Paying an annuity or maintenance fee d. Patent application amendment/argument of minimal complexity, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) c. Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, biotechnology/chemical, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, electrical computer, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing)	Fixed			\$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT application Paying an annuity or maintenance fee Patent application amendment/argument of minimal complexity, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, biotechnology/chemical, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, electrical computer, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex,	Fixed			\$ \$ \$ \$
Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT application Paying an annuity or maintenance fee Patent application amendment/argument of minimal complexity, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, biotechnology/chemical, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, electrical computer, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex,	Fixed			\$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Filing US origin utility patent application in foreign office, received ready for filing with formal papers, assignment, and priority documents Entering National Stage in foreign Receiving Office from US origin PCT application Paying an annuity or maintenance fee Patent application amendment/argument of minimal complexity, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, biotechnology/chemical, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing) Patent application amendment/argument, relatively complex, electrical computer, where US counsel or the client provides foreign counsel with detailed response instructions (Preparation and Filing)	Fixed			\$ \$ \$ \$ \$

43.	OTHER US PATENTS AND COPYRIGHTS				
		Fixed Fee	Hourly	Other	Charges in 2022
a.	US design patent application (Preparation and Filing)				\$
b.	Formal drawings for design patent application				\$
C.	Preparing and filing international design patent application under the Hague agreement				\$
d.	Responding to Office action in design patent application				\$
e.	US plant patent application (Preparation and Filing)				\$
f.	Copyright registration application (Preparation and Filing)				\$
44	TRANSACTIONAL WORK				1
44.	IRANSACTIONAL WORK	F: 1			
		Fixed Fee	Hourly	Other	Charges in 2022
a.	Due diligence				\$
b.	Preparing licenses, including negotiations				\$

LITIGATION AND RELATED MATTERS

THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD BE ANSWERED BY BOTH CORPORATE AND PRIVATE PRACTITIONERS.

RESPOND TO EACH OF THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS ONLY IF YOU HAVE PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE EITHER AS A SERVICE PROVIDER (ATTORNEY IN PRIVATE PRACTICE) OR AS A PURCHASER OF SUCH SERVICES (CORPORATE COUNSEL) OF THE COSTS INCURRED WITHIN THE RELATIVELY RECENT PAST, FOR THE TYPE OF WORK TO WHICH THE QUESTION PERTAINS. IN EACH OF THE QUESTIONS, "TOTAL COST" IS ALL COSTS, INCLUDING OUTSIDE LEGAL AND PARALEGAL SERVICES, LOCAL COUNSEL, ASSOCIATES, PARALEGALS, TRAVEL AND LIVING EXPENSES, FEES AND COSTS FOR COURT REPORTERS, PHOTOCOPIES, COURIER SERVICES, EXHIBIT PREPARATION, ANALYTICAL TESTING, EXPERT WITNESSES, TRANSLATORS, SURVEYS, JURY ADVISORS, AND SIMILAR EXPENSES. PLEASE ESTIMATE THESE BASED ON A SINGLE IP ASSET (I.E., ONE PATENT AT ISSUE, ONE TRADEMARK, ETC.).

45. What is your estimate of the total cost of <u>patent infringement</u> action of the following varieties (i) through the initial case management, (ii) inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction, (iii) inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable), and (iv) for actions in which the dispute was resolved through mediation, what was the cost of the action up through mediation? For purposes of this question, "at risk" refers to the financial impact of an adverse judgment. Q. 45D (referring to ITC litigation) refers to the financial impact of an adverse judgment from imported goods, etc.

A. LITIGATION-PATENT INFRINGEMENT, ALL VARIETIES	
Less than \$1 million at risk	
a. Initial case management	\$
b. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
c. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
d. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
\$1-\$10 million at risk	
e. Initial case management	\$
f. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
g. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
h. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
\$10-\$25 million at risk	
i. Initial case management	\$
j. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
k. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
I. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
More than \$25 million at risk	
m. Initial case management	\$
n. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
o. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
p. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$

B. LITIGATION-PATENT INFRINGEMENT PURSUANT TO THE HATCH	H-WAXMAN ACT (i.e., "ANDA LITIGATION")
Less than \$1 million at risk	
a. Initial case management	\$
b. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
c. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
d. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
\$1-\$10 million at risk	
e. Initial case management	\$
f. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
g. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
h. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
\$10-\$25 million at risk	
i. Initial case management	\$
j. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
k. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
I. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
More than \$25 million at risk	
m. Initial case management	\$
n. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
o. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
p. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$

C. LITIGATION- DEFENDING CLAIMS OF PATENT INFRINGEMENT B	Y NON-PRACTICING ENTITY
Less than \$1 million at risk	
a. Initial case management	\$
b. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
c. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
d. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
\$1-\$10 million at risk	
e. Initial case management	\$
f. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
g. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
h. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
\$10-\$25 million at risk	_
i. Initial case management	\$
j. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
k. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
I. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
More than \$25 million at risk	
m. Initial case management	\$
n. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
o. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
p. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$

a. Initial case management	\$
b. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
c. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
d. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
\$1-\$10 million at risk	
e. Initial case management	\$
f. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
g. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
h. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
\$10-\$25 million at risk	
i. Initial case management	\$
j. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
k. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
I. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
More than \$25 million at risk	
m. Initial case management	\$
n. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
o. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
p. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$

E.

F.

46.	What is your estimate of the total cost of filing or defending a petition for a post-grant proceeding, e.g., Inter
	Partes Review (IPR) or Post Grant Review (PGR), filed in the United States Patent Trial Appeal Board (PTAB) (i)
	through filing the petition for review, including expert fees, but excluding PTAB filing fees and prior art searching,
	(ii) through the end of motion practice, including discovery, analyzing patent owner response(s), reply briefing,
	expert fees, and other motion practice such as discovery motions or motions to amend, (iii) through the PTAB
	hearing, and (iv) through appeal to the federal court(s)?

A. BY TECHNOLOGY

PGR/IPR – Life Sciences	
i. Through filing petition	\$
ii. Through end of motion practice	\$
iii. Through PTAB hearing	\$
iv. Through appeal	\$
PGR/IPR – Electrical/Computer	
i. Through filing petition	\$
ii. Through end of motion practice	\$
iii. Through PTAB hearing	\$
iv. Through appeal	\$
PGR/IPR – Mechanical	
i. Through filing petition	\$
ii. Through end of motion practice	\$
iii. Through PTAB hearing	\$
iv. Through appeal	\$

В.	In your experience, how does the total cost of filing a petition for post-grant proceeding (IPR or PGR) compa	are to
	the total cost of defending such an action? About the same	
	Cost of filing is about% of the cost of defending	

47. \	What is your estimate of the total cost of a trademark infringement action (i) through the initial case
	management, (ii) inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction, (iii) inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-
	trial, and appeal (when applicable), and (iv) for actions in which the dispute was resolved through mediation,
	what was the cost of the action up through mediation?

A. LITIGATION-TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT	
Less than \$1 million at risk	
a. Initial case management	\$
b. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
c. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
d. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
\$1-\$10 million at risk	
e. Initial case management	\$
f. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
g. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
h. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
\$10-\$25 million at risk	
i. Initial case management	\$
j. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
k. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
I. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
More than \$25 million at risk	
m. Initial case management	\$
n. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
o. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
p. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$

В.	In your experience is there a strong correlation between the amount at risk in a trademark infringement action and the overall attorney hours required to litigate the action?
c.	In your experience, how does the total cost of asserting a trademark infringement action compare to the total cost of defending such an action?
	About the sameCost of asserting is about% of the cost of defending

48. What is your estimate of the total cost of a <u>trademark opposition/cancellation</u> (i) through filing petition (ii) through end of discovery, and (iii) inclusive of discovery, motions, hearing, and post-hearing?

LITIGATION-TRADEMARK OPF	POSITION/CANCELLATION
i. Through filing petition	\$
ii. Through end of discovery	\$
iii. Inclusive, all costs	\$

49.	What is your estimate of the total cost of a copyright infringement action (i) through the initial case
	management, (ii) inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction, (iii) inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-
	trial, and appeal (when applicable), and (iv) for actions in which the dispute was resolved through mediation,
	what was the cost of the action up through mediation?

A. LITIGATION-COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT	
Less than \$1 million at risk	
a. Initial case management	\$
b. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
c. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
d. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
\$1-\$10 million at risk	
e. Initial case management	\$
f. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
g. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
h. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
\$10-\$25 million at risk	
i. Initial case management	\$
j. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
k. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
I. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
More than \$25 million at risk	
m. Initial case management	\$
n. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
o. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable)	\$
p. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$

В.	In your experience is there a strong correlation between the amount at risk in a copyright infringement action
	and the overall attorney hours required to litigate the action?
C.	In your experience, how does the total cost of asserting a copyright infringement action compare to the total cost of defending such an action?
	About the same
	Cost of asserting is about% of the cost of defending

50.	What is your estimate of the total cost of a <u>trade secret misappropriation</u> action: (i) through the initial case management, (ii) inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction, (iii) inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applicable), and (iv) for actions in which the dispute was resolved through mediation, what was the cost of the action up through mediation?

Less than \$1 million at risk	
a. Initial case management	\$
b. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
c. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applic	cable) \$
d. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
\$1-\$10 million at risk	·
e. Initial case management	\$
f. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
g. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applic	
h. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
\$10-\$25 million at risk	1 .
i. Initial case management	\$
j. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
k. Inclusive of pre-trial, trial, post-trial, and appeal (when applied	
I. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
More than \$25 million at risk	7
m. Initial case management	\$
n. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	\$
o. Inclusive of discovery, motions, and claim construction	
p. Cost if dispute was resolved through mediation	\$
In your experience is there a strong correlation between th	e amount at risk in a trade secret misappropriation
In your experience is there a strong correlation between the action and the overall attorney hours required to litigate In your experience, how does the total cost of asserting a total cost of defending such an action? About the same Cost of asserting is about % of the cost of defending such an action?	the action? Yes No No Verade secret misappropriation action compare to the
action and the overall attorney hours required to litigate In your experience, how does the total cost of asserting a total cost of defending such an action?	the action? Yes No trade secret misappropriation action compare to the secret misappropriation action compare to the secret misappropriation action compare to resolving a dispute through arbitration compare to resolving a

USEFULNESS OF DATA AND REPORT TO YOU AS AN INDIVIDUAL

	What data or types of data have you found most useful when using the AIPLA Report of the Economic Survey in the past?
54.	What data or types of data would be useful to you if it could be collected in a future AIPLA Economic Survey?
Тне	TIONAL: TO RECEIVE THE BENCHMARK SPREADSHEET TOOL, PLEASE ENTER YOUR CONTACT INFORMATION BELOW. E DATA COLLECTED FOR THE PURPOSES OF PROVIDING THE BENCHMARK SPREADSHEET TOOL IS KEPT ENTIRELY SEPARATE FROM ITER RESPONSE DATA.
	Name:
	Name:
	FIRM:
	FIRM:

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR COMPLETING THIS SURVEY.

YOU MAY EMAIL YOUR COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE TO ARI AT INFO@ASSOCIATIONRSEARCH.COM.