Overview of USPTO Work-Sharing

Bruce Kisliuk - Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Patent Operations, Chemical Disciplines & Designs, USPTO

December 8, 2010
Work-sharing

- Work Sharing
  - Re-use the search and examination results done on an application or corresponding application to the maximum extent practicable in order to minimize duplication of work.
Foundations of Work-sharing

**Examiner Perspective:**
- **Awareness** that prior work results are available
- **Access** to results
- **Understanding** the substance of the results

**Applicant/User Perspective:**
- **Awareness** of re-use programs and when they are available
- **Access** to re-use programs of different IP Offices
- **Understanding** the benefits
Work-sharing Initiatives

• Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH)
• SHARE-type initiatives:
  – USPTO-KIPO SHARE Pilot
  – USPTO & UK-IPO Action Plan for Re-use
  – JP-First
  – Trilateral FLASH Pilot
• PCT Updates
PPH: Fast Track Examination of Applications

• **What is PPH?**
  – When claims are determined to be allowable in the Office of First Filing (OFF), a corresponding application with corresponding claims filed in the Office of Second Filing (OSF) may be advanced out of turn

• **What is the Purpose of PPH?**
  – OSF can utilize the search and examination results of the OFF thereby avoiding duplication of work and expediting the examination process in the OSF

• **PPH Benefits for Applicant**
  – Provides quicker patentability determination in multiple jurisdictions
  – Decreases costs of prosecution
Example: Paris Convention and National Applications

The U.S. application is

(a) a Paris Convention application which either

(i) validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) and 37 CFR 1.55 to one or more applications filed with OFF

[Diagram showing connections between OFF application, US application OSF, Indication of allowable claim(s) in an Office communication or Grant, and Request for PPH]

Priority claim
One Example of PCT-PPH

US application is national stage entry of the corresponding PCT application
Corresponding PCT application claims priority to a national application filed anywhere

XX=JP, US, EP, KIPO, Spain, Russia, Austria
YY=JP, US, EP, KIPO, Spain, Russia, Austria
Current and Planned PPH Programs

- United Kingdom PPH (UK IPO) Sept. 2007
- Canada (CIPO) PPH Jan. 2008
- Australia (IPAU) PPH April 2008
- Denmark (DKPTO) PPH Nov. 2008
- Germany (DPMA) PPH April 2009
- Singapore (IPOS) PPH Feb. 2009
- Finland (NBPR) PPH July 2009
- Trilateral (EPO & JPO) PCT-PPH Jan. 2010
- Korea (KIPO) PCT-PPH June 2010, only KIPO-to-US
- Hungary (HPO) PPH July 2010
- Russia (Rospatent) PPH & PCT-PPH Sept. 2010
- Spain (SPTO) PPH & PCT-PPH Oct. 2010
- Austria (APO) PPH & PCT-PPH Oct. 2010
- China in discussions, Israel planned
### Paris-Route PPH Statistics

**OFFICE OF SECOND FILING - OSF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>JPO</th>
<th>USPTO</th>
<th>KIPO</th>
<th>UK-IPO</th>
<th>DPMA</th>
<th>CIPO</th>
<th>IP-AU</th>
<th>DKPTO</th>
<th>EPO</th>
<th>IPOS</th>
<th>NBPR</th>
<th>ROSPATENT</th>
<th>APO</th>
<th>HPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>3543</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JPO</strong></td>
<td>3139</td>
<td>2706</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>USPTO</strong></td>
<td>2313</td>
<td>874</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1101</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KIPO</strong></td>
<td>612</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UK-IPO</strong></td>
<td>112</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DPMA</strong></td>
<td>74</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CIPO</strong></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IP-AU</strong></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DKPTO</strong></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EPO</strong></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IPOS</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NBPR</strong></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ROSPATENT</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>APO</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HPO</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**USPTO** data as of September 1, 2010. Other data as of the end of July 2010.
# PCT-PPH Statistics

**Office of National Stage Filing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISA/IPEA</th>
<th>JPO</th>
<th>USPTO</th>
<th>EPO</th>
<th>NBPR</th>
<th>KIPO</th>
<th>APO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>197</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPO</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USPTO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPO</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBPR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIPO</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APO</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

USPTO data as of September 1, 2010. Other data as of the end of July 2010.
PPH Requests at the USPTO

Total PPH Requests at USPTO as OSF
(includes Paris-route PPH & PCT-PPH)
PPH Requests by Technology Center

Cumulative Total of Paris-Route and PCT-PPH Cases

Count of Filings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count of PPH Requests</th>
<th>Count of Filings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700</td>
<td>596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2100</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2400</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2600</td>
<td>1382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2800</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3200</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Pre-Exam</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For example, when USPTO is the Office of Second Filing:

- **Overall Allowance Rate**
  - PPH cases: 92%
  - Non-PPH cases: 45%

- **Actions to Complete Patent Application Prosecution**
  - PPH cases: 1.9
  - Non-PPH cases: 2.4

- **About 20% reduction in number of claims.**

- **Pendency reduction varies, but an 18 month decrease has been observed in some technologies.**

[www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp](http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp)
Promoting PPH

- USPTO eliminated the PPH petition fee (effective May 25, 2010)
- Robust outreach campaign launched
  - PPH informational video and brochure for external stakeholders (*on USPTO website*)
  - Communication plan targeting key meetings and audiences
    - Articles and blogs in popular IP publications
- Question and feedback e-mail inbox established (*PPHfeedback@uspto.gov*)
- FAQs posted on USPTO website
SHARE Background

SHARE = Strategic Handling of Applications for Rapid Examination

The SHARE concept is intended to appropriately prioritize and balance workloads in order to be able to maximize re-use of foreign search and examination results and minimize duplication of examination work done in other IP offices.

One important distinction of the SHARE-related projects relative to the PPH is that SHARE does not depend on an applicant’s request to reutilize work; it operates independently of such requirements. As such, its potential is not limited in the same way that PPH is limited by applicant requests.
SHARE-Related Projects

- **USPTO and Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO) agreed to cooperate on a one-year SHARE pilot, which began in Fall 2009.**
  - To maximize re-use, the OSF waited to perform their search until the OFF completes their search on 326 cross-filed applications.
  - Completion of all office actions expected by Jan. 2011, final evaluation by April 2011.

  - Focusing on “Office-driven” mechanisms for reutilization of work, versus applicant-initiated.
  - Timing between the two offices is such that in cross-filed applications, USPTO can use the UK search results for our FAs, and UK can use USPTO FAs for their examination phase.

- **JP-FIRST**
  - JPO accelerates certain work as OFF if there is an OFF, JPO shares with the USPTO a list of applications with JPO actions done.

- **FLASH – First Look Application Sharing**
  - Relatively small scale Trilateral pilot to accelerate U.S. first actions when we are aware there is a foreign Office of Second Filing.
  - Within the year of filing in the U.S., applicants file a corresponding application in another Trilateral Office claiming priority to the U.S. application, and many request the U.S. priority document via PDX, thus providing an early indication that the USPTO is the OFF.
Updates on PCT
PCT Task Force

• Formed in the fall of 2009
• Mission
  – Ensure that USPTO provides world-class products and services under the PCT
• Public Outreach
  – Public meeting held January 2010
  – Heard comments on how the USPTO could improve performance as RO, ISA, IPEA and DO/EO
• Focus to date
  – Timeliness and quality of products and processing
## PCT Timeliness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement Description</th>
<th>FY2008</th>
<th>FY2009</th>
<th>FY2010</th>
<th>FY2011 Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RO/US Receipt to record copy mailing</td>
<td>60 days</td>
<td>21 days</td>
<td>13 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO/EO/US Receipt to release</td>
<td>369 days</td>
<td>379 days</td>
<td>251 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISA/US Mailing of ISR/WO within 16 months from priority</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing of ISR/WO within 18 months of priority</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPEA/US Mailing of IPER within 28 months from priority</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing of IPER within 30 months of priority</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PCT Quality

- Modified the quality measures of contractor-prepared PCT work products to be more aligned with those of U.S. national applications to maximize potential for re-use
- Provided training to contractors
- Conducting Trilateral ISR re-use study
- Recently concluded a PCT collaborative search and examination pilot project
PCT Collaborative Search and Examination Pilot

- Pilot project aimed at testing the feasibility and the potential of collaborative work between examiners of different Offices in the international phase
- Small scale pilot between EPO, KIPO and USPTO
- Designed for six examiners per Office with each acting as:
  - The first examiner on two PCT applications in which their Office was selected as ISA
  - Peer examiner on two PCT applications from each of the other Offices
PCT Collaborative Search and Examination Pilot (continued)

• PCT Collaborative Pilot results and recommendations
  – Quality: Examiners indicated that the collaboration resulted in more complete final products
    • Sometimes additional citations were included
    • Sometimes no additional citations were included, but discussions provided confidence to examiners about results
  – Efficiency: Examiners indicated that, in general, little or no additional search would be required at national phase
  – Participating examiners recommended a 2nd phase pilot with focus on improving methodology and developing IT tools
Russia as ISA/IPEA

- Russian Federal Service for Intellectual Property, Patents and Trademarks (Rospatent) and the USPTO have signed an agreement for Rospatent to serve as ISA/IPEA for international applications filed in
  - RO/US
  - RO/IB
  - Where at least one applicant is a resident or national of the United States
- Rospatent international search fee = 449 USD
- Technical details are being finalized
- Start date to be announced
Resources

For more information, please visit our website at:

http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp

Plurilateral PPH website can be found at:

http://www.jpo.go.jp/cgi/linke.cgi?url=/torikumi_e/t_tori_kumi_e/patent_highway_e.e.htm
Thank you!