

friday • october 19 (continued)

**CONCURRENT TRACK 3 (2:00 - 3:30 PM)**

Maryland, Lobby Level

**Mediating When It Counts: A Practicum on Mediation Training**

moderator: **Philip T. Petti**

*Fitch Even Tabin & Flannery – Chicago, IL*

2:00 - 2:20 pm	Strategies for Contractual Mediation in Contracts & Licenses—Clauses and Forms that Work	<i>Paul E. Burns (invited)</i> <i>Gallagher &amp; Kennedy, PA</i> <i>Phoenix, AZ</i>
2:20 - 2:40 pm	Strategies for Mediation of Disputes Before Litigation is Initiated—Why Mediation Before Trial is a Good Idea & How it Can Be Done	<i>Rex B. Stratton (invited)</i> <i>Stratton Ballew, PLLC</i> <i>Vashon Island, WA</i>
2:40 - 3:00 pm	Early Mediation Before Discovery—The Reasons to Do It & How to Get it Done	<i>Thomas F. Smegal</i> <i>Law Office of Thomas F. Smegal</i> <i>San Francisco, CA</i>
3:00 - 3:30 pm	Mediation on Appeal—Mediation at the Federal Circuit	<i>Philip T. Petti</i> <i>Fitch Even Tabin &amp; Flannery</i> <i>Chicago, IL</i>  <i>James M. Amend (invited)</i> <i>US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit</i> <i>Washington, DC</i>  <i>Wendy Dean</i> <i>US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit</i> <i>Washington, DC</i>

3:00 - 4:00 pm Beverage Break

Exhibit Hall A  
Exhibition Level

*committee educational session*

3:30 - 5:30 pm **Chemical Practice**

**Wilson C, Mezzanine Level**

105 MINUTES OF CLE REQUESTED

The State of Chemical Patent Practice: 2007 has seen significant decisions from both the Supreme Court and the Federal Circuit, for example, *KSR* defining the standards for obviousness and *Pfizer v. Apotex* redefining inherent anticipation. The fundamentals of chemical patent practice are shifting. The question needing to be asked is what does it all mean, where is it all leading? Our committee meeting will focus on chemistry and the unique impact of such decisions are having on chemical patent practice from the perspectives of private practitioners, in-house counsel, and the USPTO. Our Judicial and Administrative Decisions sub-committee will also update the committee on other recent decisions impacting and shaping chemical practice in 2007. We will also invite interactive audience participation on the topics presented.

3:30 - 3:35 pm	Welcome Remarks and Introductions	<i>Jeffrey A. Lindeman (invited)</i> <i>Nixon Peabody, LLP</i> <i>Washington, DC</i>
3:35 - 4:00 pm	The Impact of Recent Supreme Court and Federal Circuit Decisions—The Private Practice Perspective	<i>Michael R. Dzwonczyk</i> <i>Sughrue Mion, PLLC</i> <i>Washington, DC</i>
4:00 - 4:25 pm	The Impact of Recent Supreme Court and Federal Circuit Decisions—The In-House Counsel Perspective	<i>Eyal H. Barash (invited)</i> <i>Aptuit Consulting</i> <i>West Lafayette, IN</i>
4:25 - 4:50 pm	USPTO Guidance on Routine Optimization Under Obviousness, <i>KSR</i> & Other Recent Decisions Impacting Chemical Patent Practice	<i>Representative Invited</i> <i>US Patent and Trademark Office</i> <i>Alexandria, VA</i>
4:50 - 5:05 pm	Report of the Judicial and Administrative Sub-Committee: Other Hot Topics & Recent Decisions Affecting Chemical Patent Practice	<i>Judicial and Administrative Sub-Committee</i>
5:05 - 5:20 pm	Panel Discussion on Topic Presented	
5:20 - 5:30 pm	Chemical Practice Sub-Committee Reports	